

Deborah.Easterling

From: Jocelyn.Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 9:15 AM
To: Deborah.Easterling
Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com
Subject: FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club (<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>) On Behalf Of Mary Alice Kruesi
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: Jocelyn.Boyd
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Jocelyn Boyd
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Alice Kruesi <maryalice@maryalicemonroe.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Howard
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

John Howard
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Alice Kruesi
<maryalice@maryalicemonroe.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Nikiya Hall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Alice Kruesi
<maryalice@maryalicemonroe.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Whitfield
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Swain Whitfield
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Alice Kruesi
<maryalice@maryalicemonroe.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hamilton
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Alice Kruesi
<maryalice@maryalicemonroe.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Fleming
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Alice Kruesi
<maryalice@maryalicemonroe.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 8:09 AM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Randall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Comer Randall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Alice Kruesi
7 2nd Ave
Isle of Palms, SC 29451-2101